

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

First Named Inventor: MERRY, RICHARD P.  
Application No.: 10/522,492 Confirmation No.: 4467  
Filed: July 8, 2003  
Title: MAT FOR MOUNTING A POLLUTION CONTROL ELEMENT IN A  
POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE FOR THE TREATMENT OF EXHAUST GAS

---

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

- ☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
- ☐ transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300.
- ☒ transmitted to United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below via the Office electronic filing system.

April 24, 2009  
Date

/Joyce M. Courtney/  
Signed by: Joyce M. Courtney

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action dated March 26, 2009. Claims 1-20 are pending. Claims 1-20 were restricted under 35 USC § 121 and 372 as follows:

- I. Claims 1-10 and 12-20 are said to be drawn to a pollution control device; and
- II. Claim 11 is said to be drawn to method of treating exhaust gas.

**Election**

In response, Applicants elect Group I (i.e., claims 1-10 and 12-20), with traverse. Reconsideration and withdrawal or modification of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

It is alleged in the Office Action that:

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reason: The special features of providing a mat for mounting a pollution control element in a casing of a pollution control device is shown by WO 94/16134 (pages 2-4). Therefore, the recited structure does not contribute over the prior art.